EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNTS/Cloture (1st Attempt on Compromise)

SUBJECT: Education Savings Act for Public and Private Schools . . . H.R. 2646. Lott motion to close debate.

ACTION: CLOTURE MOTION REJECTED, 55-44

As amended, H.R. 2646, the Parent and Student Savings Account PLUS Act, will enact the compromise provisions of S. 1133, as reported, on education savings accounts and other education initiatives. It will expand the recently enacted education savings account tax credit, will provide an exclusion from gross income for distributions from qualified State tuition programs, will extend and expand the current-law section 127 tax exclusion (for employer-provided education assistance), and will assist local governments in issuing bonds for school construction by increasing the small-issuer bond exemption. In total, the bill will provide approximately \$6 billion in tax relief for education over the next 10 years. That cost will be more than fully offset by modifying the employer deduction for vacation pay and by changing the treatment of the foreign tax credit carryback and carryforward periods (for increased revenues of \$6.9 billion over 10 years). The education tax credit will be expanded by increasing the annual contribution limit for education IRAs from \$500 to \$2,000 for taxable years 1999 through 2002 and by changing the definition of qualified education expenses to include kindergarten through twelfth (K-12) grade expenses (the credit currently applies only to higher education expenses).

On March 18, 1998, Senator Lott sent to the desk, for himself and others, a motion to close debate on the bill. By unanimous consent, the vote on that motion was scheduled for March 19.

NOTE: A three-fifths majority (60) vote is required to invoke cloture. This vote was the first attempt to invoke cloture on the compromise language.

Those favoring the motion to invoke cloture contended:

We have now had this bill of education initiatives before the Senate for 6 days. Despite strong bipartisan support, a small,

YEAS (55)			NAYS (44)			NOT VOTING (1)	
Republicans Democrats		Republicans	Democrats		Republicans	Democrats	
Abraham Allard	r 100%) Hutchinson Hutchison	(0 or 0%)	(0 or 0%)	Akaka Baucus	(100%) Inouye Johnson	(0)	(1) Moseley-Braun- ^{2A}
Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brownback Burns Campbell Chafee Coats Cochran Collins Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Domenici Enzi Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grassley Gregg Hagel Hatch Helms	Inhofe Jeffords Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Roberts Roth Santorum Sessions Shelby Smith, Bob Smith, Gordon Snowe Specter Stevens Thompson Thurmond Warner			Biden Bingaman Boxer Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Cleland Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Durbin Feingold Feinstein Ford Glenn Graham Harkin Hollings	Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Kohl Landrieu Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moynihan Murray Reed Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Torricelli Wellstone Wyden	EXPLANAT 1—Official E 2—Necessari 3—Illness 4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	ily Absent nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 38 MARCH 19, 1998

determined minority of Democrats are still attempting to filibuster the bill. The strategy that they wish to pursue is a "filibuster by amendment," under which they will offer an endless series of proposals, some of which might be germane, some of which might only meet the relevancy test, and some of which might be totally extraneous. Rather than allowing this small group of Senators to pursue that strategy, the Majority Leader has controlled the parliamentary situation to prevent the offering of amendments. The purpose of doing so has been to keep tempers cool while trying to negotiate a fair compromise on how to proceed with considering the bill. Numerous offers have been made back and forth between the Republican and Democratic leadership. At times, it has seemed that an agreement has been within reach. For instance, one of the latest offers by the Majority Leader was to consider all of the 14 education and tax-related amendments that have been filed, 9 of which are amendments that have been proposed by Democrats. The Minority Leader responded that doing so would not allow for the consideration of a Democratic substitute, and the Majority Leader said that such a substitute could be considered. We think we are close to an agreement, but after several days it is time that we had another vote on the matter in order to add a little pressure to both sides so that the negotiations will not go on endlessly. Though we will probably not get cloture on this vote, we are cautiously optimistic that a deal will be reached.

Those opposing the motion to invoke cloture contended:

We concur with our colleagues. The negotiations that have been underway have been constructive. Unfortunately, a few outstanding issues remain. For instance, the latest offer by the majority party does not include the consideration of a Democratic substitute amendment, nor does it include the consideration of a proposed amendment on child-care expenses. We do not have time to resolve these issues before the cloture vote today, so we will vote against cloture and will urge all Democratic Senators, whether they favor the bill or not, to vote with us. At the same time, we remain hopeful that we will soon reach an agreement that will allow us to drop our opposition to cloture.